**Overview**. In this paper we discuss effects of nominalization on actional properties of verb stems, aspectual composition and restrictions on causative-inchoative alternation in Ossetian. We argue that nominalizations provide a more direct access to the properties of uninflected vPs since they do not contain at least a part of the functional structure projected above vPs in fully inflected clauses.

**Data**. The vast majority of Ossetian non-prefixed verbs yield imperfective finite clauses; perfective clauses are derived by prefixation (1a-b). Such clauses exhibit a number of syntactic and semantic peculiarities. First, a clause with a prefixed verb must be telic (1b-c). Secondly, prefixation produces a Slavic type of aspectual composition (Verkuyl 1999, Piñon 2001, Filip 1999 and elsewhere), whereby the perfective verb restricts the interpretation of its incremental argument (2). Thirdly, perfective clauses do not allow for causative-inchoative alternation even if their non-prefixed counterparts do (3).

Crucially, these effects are only observed in fully inflected clauses, whereas nominalizations show a completely different pattern. First, verbs that are imperfective in inflected clauses exhibit a considerable degree of actional variability in nominalizations, including telic and atelic perfective interpretations (4). Secondly, the aspectual composition is of English type, whereby it is not the verb that affects the interpretation of the agrument, but, the other way round, properties of the argument determine telicity of the whole predicate (Krifka 1992, 1998) (5). Finally, nominalizations of prefixed verbs do allow for the causative-inchoative alternation despite the fact that corresponding fully inflected clauses do not (6).

**Discussion and outline of the analysis**. As a point of departure we take Kratzer's (2003) discussion of the problem of indirect access (7). We suggest that nominalizations differ from fully inflected clauses in that they contain less functional structure above vP (Alexiadou 2001, 2004, Fu et al. 2001, among others), hence provide a more direct access to true characteristics of uninflected verbs/vPs. If fully inflected clauses show any differences from nominalizations, these differences can only emerge at later stages of syntactic derivation where clausal functional structure merges on top of vP (8).

If this view is correct, then actional variability is not an outcome of nominalization but is characteristic of vP to begin with. There is crosslinguistic evidence suggesting that this is indeed the case. Data from Turkic and North-Caucasian languages (Kibrik 1999, Kibrik et al. 2001, Lyutikova et al. 2005, Lyutikova et al. 2006) show that counterparts of verbs like 'die', 'tear' and 'sink' tend to produce exactly the range of actional interpretations we see in Ossetian nominalizations. Some of these interpretations, then, are blocked when aspectual functional structure is projected in the course of derivation of fully inflected clauses in Ossetian. The same line of reasoning applies to aspectual composition effects. At the vP level aspectual composition in languages like English and Ossetian works exactly the same way. The Slavic type of aspectual composition comes at later stages of syntactic derivation, when functional (specifically, aspectual) structure is projected. Finally, causative-inchoative alternation in Ossetian nominalizations obtains precisely for those predicates that allow for the alternation in fully inflected clauses in languages like English (Levin&Rappaport Hovav 1995, 2005; Rappaport Hovav&Levin 1998). This suggests that the peculiarity of Ossetian does not have to do with the vP construal, but rather with the functional structure dominating vP.

## **Examples**

```
zalinæ fonz
(1)
                               minut-1
                                               ændax šqui-d-ta.
                                               thread tear-PST-TR.3SG
                       five
                               minute-GEN
        'Zalina was tearing a thread for five minutes'.
                                                                               <imperfective>
               zalinæ fonz
                               sekund-mæ
                                               ændax a-šqui-d-ta.
               Z.
                       five
                               second-LAT
                                               thread PRF-tear-PST-TR.3SG
        'Zalina tore a thread in five seconds'.
                                                                               <perfective, telic>
               zalinæ fonz
                               minut-1
                                               ændax a-šqui-d-ta.
                       five
                               minute-GEN
                                               thread PRF-tear-PST-TR.3SG
        'Zalina tore a thread for five minutes'.
                                                                               <perfective, atelic>
(2)
               alan
                       ba-xor-d-ta
                                               fætgui.
                       PRF-eat-PST-TR.3SG
               Α
                                               apple
        'Alan ate up an / the apple'.
               alan
                       ba-xor-d-ta
                                               fætqui-ti.
                       PRF-eat-PST-TR.3SG
                                               apple-PL
               A.
        'Alan ate up the / *<\emptyset> apples'.
```

```
(3)
               alan
                       duar
                               gom kod-ta.
                                                              alan
                                                                      duar
                                                                              baj-gom kod-ta.
                               open.PST-TR.3SG
                                                                      door
                                                                              PRF-open.PST-TR.3SG
                       door
                                                              A.
               Α
       'Alan was opening the door'.
                                                      'Alan opened the door'.
                       gom kod-ta.
                                                                      baj-gom kod-ta.
               duar
                                                      d. *
                                                              duar
               door
                       open.PST-TR.3SG
                                                              door
                                                                      PRF-open.PST-TR.3SG
        'The door was opening'.
                                                       'The door opened'.
(4)
               [zalinæ-jı
                                                      ændax šqui-d]-1
                               fonz
                                       sekund-mæ
                                                                              fæštæ...
               Z.-GEN
                                       second-LAT
                                                      thread tear-NMN-GEN after
                               five
        'After Zalina tore a thread in five seconds...'
                                                                              <perfective, telic>
               [zalinæ-ji
                               fonz
                                       minut-1
                                                      ændax šqui-d]-i
                                                                              fæštæ...
                Z.-GEN
                                                              tear-NMN-GEN after
                               five
                                       minute-GEN
                                                      thread
        'After Zalina tried to tore a thread for five minutes...'
                                                                              <perfective, atelic>
(5)
               [alan-1 fætqu1 xor-d]-1
               A.-GEN apple
                               eat-NMN-GEN after
        'After Alan ate up an/the apple...'
                                                              <quantized argument, telic VP>
               [alan-1 fætqu1-t1
                                       xor-dl-1
                                                      fæštæ...
               A.-GEN apple-PL
                                       eat-NMN-GEN
                                                      after
        'After Alan ate up the apples...'
                                                              <quantized argument, telic VP>
        'After Alan ate <Ø> apples...'
                                                              <cumulative argument, atelic VP>
               [alan-1 duar
(6)
                               baj-gom kon-d]-1
                                                      fæštæ...
               A.-GEN door
                               PRF-open-NMN-GEN
                                                      after
       'After Alan opened the door...'
               [duar-1
                               baj-gom kon-d]-1
                                                      fæštæ...
                               PRF-open-NMN-GEN
               door-GEN
                                                      after
        'After the door opened...
```

(7) "The verbs we see – surrounded by their arguments and with all their inflections tucked on – might not be the verbs that are ultimately fed to the semantic interpretation component... We would have to formulate hypotheses about the meaning of uninflected, tense- and aspectless forms, even though we might never encounter those forms in reality." (Kratzer 2003)

(8) a. Finite clause:  $[\dots [_{F1P} [_{F2P} \dots [_{FiP} [ \dots [_{VP} [ \dots [_{VP} [ \dots ]]]]]]])$  b. Nominalization:  $[_{NP} [_{N'} NMN [ \dots [_{VP} [ \dots [_{VP} [ \dots ]]]]]])$ 

## References

Alexiadou, A. (2001). Functional Structure in Nominals. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Alexiadou, A. (2004). *On the formation and interpretation of derived nominals*. Paper presented at the International conference on deverbal nouns, Lille, September 2004.

Filip, H. (1999). Aspect, eventuality types and noun phrase semantics. New York, London: Garland Publishing.

Fu, J., Th. Roeper, and H. Borer (2001). "The VP within Process Nominals: Evidence from Adverbs and VP Anaphor *do so*". *Natural Languages & Linguistic Theory* 19: 549-582.

Kibrik, A. (ed.) (1999). *Elementy tsaxurskogo jazyka v tipologicjeskom osveschenii*. {Elements of Tsaxur grammar in typological perspective.} Moscow: IMLI RAN

Kibrik, A., K. Kazenin, E. Lyutikova, S. Tatevosov (eds.) (2001). *Bagwalinskij jazyk: Grammatika, teksty, slovari.* {Bagwalal: Grammar, texts, dictionary.} Moscow: IMLI RAN.

Kratzer, A. (2003). The event argument and the semantics of verbs. Ms. UMass-Amherst.

Krifka, M. (1992). Thematic relations as links between nominal reference and temporal constitution. In I.Sag and A.Szabolsci (eds.) *Lexical matters*. Stanford: CSLI, 29-53.

Krifka, M. (1998). The origins of telicity. In S. Rothstein (ed.) Events and Grammar. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 197-235.

Levin, B. and M. Rappaport Hovav (1995). *Unaccusativity: at the syntax-lexical interface*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1995.

Levin, B. and M. Rappaport Hovav (2005). *Argument Realization*, Research Surveys in Linguistics Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Lyutikova E., K. Kazenin, V. Solovyev, S Tatevosov (eds.) (2005). *Misharskij dialekt tatarskogo yazyka: Ocherki po sintaksisu i semantike*. {Mishar dialect of Tatar: Essays on syntax and semantics.} Kazan: Magarif

Lyutikova E., S. Tatevosov, M. Ivanov, A. Pazelskaya, A. Shluinskij (2006). *Structura sobytija i semantika glagola v karachajevo-balkarskom jazyke*. {Event structure and the meaning of verbs in Karachay-Balkar.} Moscow: IMLI RAN

Piñon, Ch. (2001). A Problem of Aspectual Composition in Polish. In G.Zybatow, U.Junghanns, G.Mehlhorn, and L.Szucsich (eds.) *Current Issues in Formal Slavic Linguistics*. Frankfurt/Main: Lang, 397-415.

Rappaport Hovav, M. and B. Levin (1998). "Building Verb Meanings", in M. Butt and W. Geuder (eds.) *The Projection of Arguments: Lexical and Compositional Factors*, CSLI Publications, Stanford, CA, 97-134.

Verkuyl, H. J. (1999). Aspectual Issues. Structuring Time and Quantity. Stanford: CSLI Publications.